Previous references.

1902-3

(Sep : Revl)

Later references.

File No. 57-07

Serial Nos. 1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B. for may 08

SUBJECT.

Inamdar's claim to the todey revenue of Jodi Ardesahalli, Devanahalli Taluk.

1) From the Excise commr No. 4968/336 dt 26.2.03. (D. 341).
Submits with his opinion the record of enquiry relating to the todey revenue of the village in question.

2) To the Excise Commr No 158/Ex.2 1607 of 20/8/03
Points out certain defects in the enquiry G. R. S. 58 of the case and calls for additional information in the matter.

3) From the Excise Commr. No. 8840 dt 16.4.08
Resubmits the records of the case stating that

the Zamindar is not entitled to the Toddy revenue of the village claimed for by him

4) Zone Excise Commr & complainer
no. H 5828 – 3 & 9.5.08
observes that the Zamindar is entitled only to the Rent.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Sep. Rev.)

NOTES.

S. R. File. No. 57-02

FILE HEADING.

Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of Jodi Ardesiahalli, Devanhalli Taluk

From

The Excise Commissioner.....

No. 4968/336 Dated 26/2/03 Received 27/2/03.

Diary No. 341	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits with his opinion the record of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of the village in question.

~~NOTES AND ORDERS.~~

2. To the Excise Comr. No 458 dated 26-03 28/3/03
Points out certain defects in the enquiry of the case and calls for additional information on certain points connected therewith.

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—20-3-06.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.R File No. 57 of 1902-03.

Serial No.	
3.	From the Excise Commissioner. No 8840 dt 16/4/08. Submits the records of the case & states that the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy revenue of the village claimed by him. There are only a few date trees but no toddy shops in this village. The Inam settlement registers the Abkari revenue to Govt. Clearly the inamdars are not entitled to the toddy revenue from toddy shops & I do not refer to the case. The investigating officer Mr B. J. Kennedy Inamdar with amount of Rs 15-2 being the 75% of the gross rent from 1892-93 to 1896-97 under rule 4. This is evidently the result of bargaining & all the inam gross together & then distributing the collection as per pargana rates. Filed with a draft disallowing

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB-50,00017-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

8A File No. 57 of 1902

Serial No.

the claim
true
30/4/08 ½ 30.4.08
Secy)

Encld 61st a
e
1/5/08
£1/5/-
Draft approved 5 May 08

6687
2-5-1908

true
&
5/5/08

abtD

To £5/5/-

4

The Excise Commr
The Comptroller
No: H. 5822-3 dtd 9/5/1908
Observes that the Inamdar is entitled only to free rent.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,00017—3·07

date trees are leased to Government Toddy Contractors and thereby some revenue is derived. No toddy shop exists. At the Revenue Settlement, Excise Revenue from Toddy has not been conceded. Accordingly only $\frac{1}{5}$ of the rent of the 'Grove Farm' is payable to the Jodidars under Rule 4, in Government Pros. No: 2074-83, R.T. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

M Ramachandra

Excise Commissioner,

10 in Rupees.

A Calendar of a claim to Jodidy Rent preferred before the Assistant Commissioner Bangalore

Name of Talook	Devanhally Taluk
Name of Hobli, Sammath or Magani	Jala Hobly.
Name of Sarvamanya Jodi or Kaigaunatee village	Jodi Anderhalli
Name of Inamdar, Jadidar or Kaigaunbadar	Ramachundrah -Agent.
Documents relied upon	nil
Witnesses Examined	nil.
Finding	The Inamdar is not entitled to the Jaddy Revenue but to ¾ of the Jama rent up to the year 1896-97 inclusive and to enjoy free rent for the succeeding

H. C. Hobbs

me enquired into by B. J. Konasamie Kath
re district.

	Amount awarded if any	Grounds of decision
	The amount received from the Govt for 93-938 to 96-97 as per agreement for the 4 years 92-93 = 1 " " 93-94 = 1 " " 94-95 = 1 " " 95-96 = 1 8 " " 96-97 = 0 4 " " 4 1/2 "	(1) as a fact from long enjoyment the land is Crown land & the Govt has a right to resume. (2). Owning to failure of three results only being registered by the Kanungoes, it is open for Govt that he is entitled to enjoy the same as heretofore.

Examined

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:--

The marginally noted letters from the Excise Commissio

er in Mysore submitting the records of enquiring into the claim

to excise revenue of the Inams

noted in the Margin.

Letter No.9002/301 on the Inamdar's claim to toddy Revenue of Siddanhalli village, Anekal Taluk.

Letter No.9[illegible]9/302 on the Inamdar's claim to toddy revenue of Cavipalya village, Kolarmangala Taluk.

Letter No.9068/306 -do- -do- Aradesanhalli, Devanhalli taluk.

Letter No.9064/339 -do- -do- Golhalli, Devanhalli Taluk.

Letter No.9001/359 -do- -do- Arasanahalli, Red anahalli, -do- Taluk.

Letter No.9301/360 -do- -do- Mahanatalapura, Anekal Taluk.

Letter No.9309/357 -do- -do- Itagalpura, Deodrahallapur Taluk.

Letter No.9300/363 -do- -do- Kankan halli, -do- -do-

Letter No.9303/365 -do- -do- Kamatanara, Anekal Taluk.

Order thereon No.150/Ex.F.16-01.

Dated, Bangalore, ~~April 1909~~. 20/8/03

In every case read above the investigating officer P.J. Punnaraopd Naik, E.A., records the findings that the [illegible] ants are entitled to $\frac{1}{4}$ of free-rent up to 96-97 and they are entitled to full free rent for the succeeding years.

2. Government regret to observe that the decision is incorrect and the enquiry is incomplete. The first portion of decision [illegible] being under rule 3 of Government Proceeding No.2/4-00/E.F.100-01-1, dated the 2nd August 1901, grants ¼ rent towards free rent up to 96-97 along with the above ¼ amount.

The needful information for the second finding of the investigating officer which falls under rules 8 and 17 of the proceeding quoted above, was not before him; and hence it is [illegible] action based on no evidence.

4. The Excise Commissioner states he concurs with the investigating officer; but awards ½ of Gorve Farm rent only under rule 6 without noticing the other finding of the latter.

5. The decision and the enquiry being this defective, Government direct that the records of enquiry be remitted to the investigating officer for completing the enquiry and for recording a correct and full decision.

For Secretary to Government.

To

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

D 3965 5 16

Office of the ~~Excise Commissioner~~
in Mysore,

No. 8840 for 2 one Register & Hob
T 70/4 Dated Bangalore, 16th april 1908.

From

SR 57-02-3. 57/02

H.H. Sparkes Esquire,
Excise Commissioner, in Mysore.

To

C.S. Balasundram Iyer Esquire, B.A.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

1. Letter No: A 203 Dated 27-12-1907 from the Deputy Comr. Bangalore
2. Letter No: 1146 Dated 23rd April 1907 from the Lepni asst: Comr Doddaballapur Divn to the Deputy Comr Bangalore.

In submitting herewith copies of the marginally noted correspondence and the records of investigation relating to the toddy revenue of Jodi Aradesihalli village in the Devanhalli Taluk together with a copy of the Inam register of enquiry of the villag I have the honor to state that the village has only a date grove but no toddy shop. The sannad alienating the village was not produ-ced and the Inam settlement conducted in 1875, reserved the Abkar revenue to Government. Nor is the claim to the Excise revenue from toddy of the village supported by any evidence of enjoyment. I -- therefore concur with the opinions of the investigating officer and the Deputy Commissioner that the Inamdar is entitled only to tree-rent from the licensed contractors when the village trees are tapped by them. Payment will be regulated by rules 4,8 and 16 con-tained in Government order No. 2074-83-R.A. 166-92 dated 2nd. --- August 1901.

2. The amount payable to the Inamdar will be checked and veri-fied and a refund bill submitted for the sanction of Government on receipt of orders on the admissibility or otherwise of the claim.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

for Excise Commissioner.

Bangalore District.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Dated. 26/27th December 1907.

From,

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To,

W. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 98, dated 19/21st September 1905, returning certain files of records relating to the enquiry into the toddy claims, I have the honor to retransmit herewith the files of the marginally noted villages received with the Dodballapur Sub Division, Assistant Commissioner's letter No. 1146, dated 23rd April 1907 (copy of which is enclosed) and to state as follows:-

1 Ganghalya - Nelamangala Taluk.
2. Chikkanahalli } Dodballapur Taluk
3. Nagalapura }
4. Ardesahalli } Devanhalli Taluk
5. Arsanahalli }
Reddanahalli }
6. Gollahalli

The amounts due to the Inamdars of the several villages quoted above under Rule 4 of Government Proceedings No. 2074-83/R.F.166-9, dated 2nd August 1907, are recorded in the revised calendars of each case submitted by Mr. Thimmaraswami Naick.

2. It would appear from the records that these Inamdars have been allowed to lease out and the toddy trees themselves subsequent to 1896-97, and as such they are not entitled to get anything on account of rent during the year 1897-98 in which preliminary tree tax system was introduced and the subsequent years in which the tree tax system was brought into force.

3. The groves of the Inam villages of Ardesahalli, Arsanahalli, Reddanahalli and Gollahalli in the Devanhalli

Taluk

Taluk and Gavepalya in the Nelamangala Taluk were not sold independently but sold clubbed together with other villages from 1892-93 to 1894-95. Thus the amounts awarded for these years in the calendors of these villages appear to have been arrived at by the ~~appointment~~ apportionment of the grove farms rent among the villages whose groves were sold together. The other files of records relating to the villages of Giddenahalli, Mantulingapura and Konasundra referred to in the letter under reference will be submitted soon after their receipt from the Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore Sub Division.

I have the honor to be etc.,

(Sd) D. Devaraj Urs,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

"C O P Y."

for Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore.

From,

K. R. Sreenevasiengar Esquire,

Senior Assistant Commissioner,

Dodballapur.

To,

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Dated 18th April 1907.

No. 1146.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 127, dated 18th October 1905, forwarding for further enquiry the papers relating to the claims of toddy revenue of the Inamdars of the marginally noted villages, I have the honor to report as follows:-

1 Ganpalya
Nelamangala Taluk
2. Chikkanahalli
3. Nagalpura
Dodballapur Taluk
4. Ardenahalli
5 Asanahalli
Doddanahalli
6 Gallahalli
Devanhalli Taluk

From the enquiry held by my predecessors, it is clear that the Inamdars of none of the 6 villages in question are ~~liable~~ entitled to the toddy revenue of these villages. The only question for determination is the amount of refund to which these Inamdars may be entitled under Rules 4, 8 and 17 of the Rules published in Government Order No. 2074-83/R.F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

2/ As regards the claims of the Inamdars for 1/5 of the grove farm rent under rule 4, the revised calendars of each case submitted by Mr. Kumerswami Naick give the grove farm rentsin the villages in question realized during the years 1892-93 to 1896-97 and the 1/5 portion thereof refundable to the Inamdars. I am unable to make out where from the figures give in the calendars for the grove farm rentals during the

above

above five years were obtained. I see that these figures differ slightly from those furnished to me by the Amildars to whom I made a reference in the matter. I may also add that I have not been able to obtain from the District office full information regarding the grove farm rentals realized during the five years in question.

3. As regards the claims under Rules 8 and 17 notices were issued to the Inamdars and such of them as appeared were heard in support of their claims; none of them could give definite and accurate information which would entitle them to any refun d of tree rent. It is ascertained from Deputy Commissioner's yadi No. 158, dated 9th November 1906, and also from the reports of the Amildars that from the years 1897-98 the date trees in the Inam villages in question were leased out by the Inamdars themselves and that Government did not place any restriction on their so leasing out the trees or recover any tree rent for trees leased out in these villages. Hence no refund is due to the Inamdars of these villages towards tree rent under Rules 16 and 17 either for the year 1897-98 when the preliminary tree tax system was brought into force or during the subsequent years when the tree tax system was fully into operation.

4. I desire to invite your attention to the statement submitted by the Amildar of Devanhalli wherefrom it is seen that a sum of Rs. 350-3-8 has already been advanced to the Inamdars of Gollahalli though the amount refundable to him under rule 4 amounts to only Rs. 98-6-5.

5. The records received with the memo under report as also the records of further enquiries held in this office are herewith submitted as per ferist.

I have the honor to be etc.
(Sd) Thumboo Chetty,
for Senior Assistant Commissioner,
Dodballapur Division.

" C O P Y."

(Sd) D. Devaraj Urs,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

" C O P Y."

for Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore.

[G.R.S. 28]

File No. 57-02
Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 3965

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

8042

, the 190

Date of issue 9 May/08

Signed by W Venkatachar

READ— Letter no 8840 dy 16/4/08 from the Revenue Commr in Mysore submitting the records of enquiry relating to the claim to the tooth grass of Sri Anjaneyaswami in Devarayapalli in Bangalore dist,

ORDER THEREON, NO.

Order thereon no

No R 5822-3 dy 9/May/08

The village has only a few palmyras

over

SUBJECT

12 it has

Mr. Lodge & Mr.
The Lodge Creek premises
of the village has not
been connected to the
main drain either by
Mr. Meredith or the main
Settlement and the
main drain here,
not to be extended
there might to the Govt.
are therefore of opinion
Connecting with the opinion
the investigation given
by Mr. Meredith Excise
Comm. that the Mun.
Govt are entitled to
nothing more than
Compensation

Mr. Lodge
5 Aug 08

Pencil

Mr. Lodge
11/5/08

To the Excise Comm. returning records
the Comptroller.

Note to Secretary
Please return
the Calendar
to the file
Lodge
2/6/08

Mr. Lodge
8/5

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial, (Separate Revenue), dated 13th May 1908.

READ—

Letter No. 8840, dated 16th April 1908 from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the claim to the toddy revenue of Jodi Ardesahalli, Devanhalli Taluk, Bangalore District.

No. Fl. 5822-3—S. R. 57-02-4, DATED BANGALORE, THE 9TH MAY 1908.

ORDER THEREON.—The village has only a few date trees and it has no toddy shop. The toddy excise revenue of the village has not been conceded to the Inamdars either by sannad of the Inam Settlement and the Inamdars have not substantiated their right to it. Government therefore concur with the opinions of the investigating Officer, the Deputy Commissioner and the Excise Commissioner, that the Inamdars are entitled to nothing more than tree rent.

Offg. Secy. to Govt. Gen., and Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning the records.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—C. S.

1907-08

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(SEPARATE REVENUE)

Dated 13th May 1908

No. Fl. 5822-3
S. R. 507-02-4

Disallowing the Inamdar's claim to the toddy excise revenue of Jodi Ardesahalli, Devanhalli Taluk, Bangalore District.

GPB—200—17-6-08